44.

## ORIGINAL



1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 2 OF THE STATE OF HAWAII 3 In the Matter of Docket No. 2006-0387 4 MAUI ELECTRIC COMPANY, LIMITED 5 For Approval of Rate Increases and Revised 6 Rate Schedules. 7 8 9 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 10 11 The above matter came on for a public hearing at 12 Mitchell Pauole Center, 90 Ainoa Street, Kaunakakai, 13 Molokai, Hawaii, commencing at 6:15 p.m., on Tuesday, 14 April 24, 2007, pursuant to Notice. 15 16 BEFORE: CHAIRMAN CARLITO P. CALIBOSO COMMISSIONER JOHN E. COLE 17 18 19 20 ADRIANNE IGE KURASAKI, CSR 388 REPORTED BY: 21 Registered Professional Reporter 22 23 PACIFIC REPORTING SERVICES UNLIMITED Fort Street Tower, Suite 704 745 Fort Street 24 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 (808)524-PRSU 25

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	For Maui Electric Company, Limited:
4	EDWARD REINHARDT Maui Electric Company, Limited 210 West Kamehameha Avenue
5	Kahului, Hawaii 96732 (808)871-2300
6	(000)071 2300
7	For Division of Consumor Advosacy
8	For Division of Consumer Advocacy:
9	CATHERINE AWAKUNI, ESQ. Executive Director
	Division of Consumer Advocacy
10	335 Merchant Street, Suite 326 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
11	(808) 586-2800
12	
13	For Public Utilities Commission:
14	JI SOOK (LISA) KIM, ESQ.
15	465 South King Street, Suite 103 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 (808)586-2020
16	(808) 380-2020
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX OF TESTIFIERS	
2		PAGE
3	Edward Reinhardt	5
4	Catherine Awakuni	9
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		į
17		
18		
19		i
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER CALIBOSO: Good evening. My name is Carlito Caliboso, Chairman of the Public Utilities

Commission of the State of Hawaii. For this hearing, I am joined by Commissioner John Cole. This is the public hearing held by the Commission to receive public comments on Docket No. 2006-0387. This docket concerns the application of Maui Electric Company Limited, or MECO, filed on February 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2007 for Commission approval of rate increases and revised rate schedules.

The Division of Consumer Advocacy of Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs, or Consumer Advocate, is also part of this proceeding. Representatives from MECO and personnel from the Consumer Advocate's office are present this evening and will be available after the public hearing to answer any questions that you may have.

Additionally, MECO will be given an opportunity to respond to any comments and views presented during this public hearing after all interested individuals have testified.

Anyone interested in testifying this evening should sign up at the table located at the side of the room.

With that, we will first begin with MECO, the Consumer Advocate, and then anyone from the public who

wishes to testify.

1.1

Mr. Ed Reinhardt, Maui Electric Company.

MR. REINHARDT: Good evening, Chairman Caliboso, Commissioner Cole, and members of the audience. My name is Edward Reinhardt, and I'm president of Maui Electric Company.

Let me begin by thanking all of our customers who came tonight to share their thoughts. It is important for us to know what is important to all of you. We know that any rate increase is not a happy event for any customer, and we therefore never make the decision to apply for one lightly. We also, however, need to do the right things by our system, especially in maintaining reliable service. And unfortunately, that sometimes means rate increases.

Millions of dollars have been invested in the current Maui Electric facilities on Molokai. Since MECO's last rate increase eight years ago, we invested \$3.5 million in plant additions to continue to provide reliable electric service for customers on Molokai. We have an obligation to keep that system maintained and in good working order for the customers and we will do so.

So with that as a background, let me discuss the specifics of this particular rate increase. Tonight, I will briefly cover how much of an increase we are asking for, what the increase will be used for, and how it will

affect customer bills. In particular, I want to explain the innovative new rate structures we are proposing to help minimize the impact on lower income families and those who conserve energy.

How much of an increase is MECO requesting and how will it be structured?

MECO is requesting an overall net increase of \$19 million on base revenues for Maui County in total. For Molokai, we are seeking an increase of \$681,000 or 5.3 percent over present revenues. This proposed increase is less than our studies show we should be requesting for Molokai.

Setting rates according to the cost of providing service would have resulted in a 9.7 percent increase for customers on Molokai.

To mitigate the impact of such an increase, we have decided to propose an equal percentage increase of 5.3 percent for Maui, Molokai, and Lanai. We have also proposed opportunities for customers to save money and to fairly shift more of the responsibility to those who contribute the most to high electricity costs.

First, we are asking the Commission to approve a residential tiered rate structure so that those who use less electricity will pay lower rates. Under this plan, the majority of customers on Molokai will see smaller

monthly increases in their electric bill, in the range of 2 percent to 4.4 percent. Those who use a lot of electricity will see larger increases in their monthly bill, for example, approximately 6.9 percent for residents using 2000-kilowatt hours. This new tiered rate system encourages energy conservation and efficiency and rewards customers who use energy wisely. We have proposed similar rate structures for the Big Island and Oahu.

At the same time, recognizing that some low-income families have large households with higher electricity use, we are also developing a provision to cap electric rate applied for certain low-income households so that the tiered rate system does not unduly burden these families.

Second, to encourage use during off-peak times when power generation reserves are greater, the proposal also includes a voluntary time-of-use rate option for residential and commercial customers, which would provide lower electric rates for off-peak usage times and higher electric rates for peak usage times.

Why is a rate increase needed?

Although one of the major reasons for MECO's proposed increase is the addition of the M18 and M19 generating units at the Maalaea Generating Statin on Maui, the company has also experienced increased costs to provide and maintain reliable service on Molokai since our

last rate increase eight years ago. This has included the replacement of transformers at the Palauu and Puunana substations, and underground main feeder replacements in the Kuluakoi area.

Our responsibility to provide reliable electrical service on Molokai also requires us to ensure proper maintenance of our electrical equipment and facilities.

This includes more frequent inspections of utility lines and poles, increased vegetation management to keep our lines clear of trees and brush that could cause service outages, and proper servicing of our power generators.

What is the effect of a rate increase on customer bills?

what most of our customers will want to know is how will this increase affect my electric bill. If approved, MECO's tiered-rate structure would provide smaller percentage increases for those who use less electricity. For example, if the full request is approved, a typical residential customer on Molokai using 500-kilowatt hours a month would pay \$8.69 more, or about a 5 percent increase instead of the overall 5.3 percent increase.

Again, the tiered system we are proposing in intended to encourage conservation and lessen the impact

on residential customers who use smaller amounts of electricity. If approved, we anticipate the earliest a rate increase might take effect is in late 2007.

In conclusion, thank you for this opportunity to briefly describe our rate increase application. As I mentioned earlier, we will be available after the public hearing to answer your questions and concerns.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CALIBOSO: Thank you, Mr. Reinhardt.

Catherine Awakuni, Consumer Advocate's office.

MS. AWAKUNI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good evening, Chairman Caliboso and Commissioner

Cole. I'm Catherine Awakuni, Executive Director of

Division of Consumer Advocacy. The Division represents

the interests of consumers in public utility matters. And
to that end, I'm here this evening to listen to the

consumers' comments and concerns regarding Maui Electric

Company, Limited, or MECO's request for approval to

increase its rates and revise its rate schedules.

The Consumer Advocate's role is to represent the interests of all Hawaii consumers of public utility services by advocating for reliable utility services at reasonable customer costs. To do this, the Consumer Advocate is taking an independent look at MECO's request for Public Utilities Commission approval of its rate

increase.

1.0

1.2

we will confirm whether there is a need for the proposed rates and whether the rates proposed are necessary to ensure the provision of reliable service.

After completing our review, we will file direct testimonies with the Commission explaining our analysis and recommendations. At this time, the Consumer Advocate has not completed its analysis and is not able to state its position on the merits of MECO's request this evening.

If this application goes to an evidentiary hearing, the Division's analysts and consultants who submit direct testimony will have an opportunity as witnesses to orally summarize their written testimony before the Commission, and because an evidentiary hearing is a quasi-judicial proceeding, the witnesses will be subject to thorough cross-examination by all parties. Following the contested case hearing, the parties will summarize their positions in post-hearing briefs.

The Commission will ultimately decide whether to allow MECO to proceed with its request and we encourage the public to express their opinions to the Commission regarding MECO's proposal. Your input is important because only you can tell us what effect the company's proposal may have on you and the businesses you may represent.

1 As we move forward, please feel free to contact 2 the Division's office at any time to share your thoughts, 3 concerns, and questions regarding this or any other 4 utility matter. And I'll note that I have copies of my presentation with me, and it contains our contact 5 information. 6 7 Thank you for the opportunity to make this presentation. 8 COMMISSIONER CALIBOSO: Thank you, Ms. Awakuni. 10 Is there anyone else who would like to testify 11 this evening, present comments? 12 Seeing none, at this time I'd like to ask 13 Commission counsel, Ji Sook Kim, to briefly explain what 14 will happen following this evening's proceeding. 15 MS. KIM: Those who are here to present written 16 comments or additional comments as applicable can submit 17 them to our Honolulu office at 465 South King Street. 18 Room No. 103, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813. Written comments 19 may also be submitted through e-mail at 20 hawaii.puc@hawaii.gov. 21 Those wishing to intervene or participate without 22 intervention in the proceeding must do so by Monday, 23 May 7, 2007, for a motion to intervene or participate 24 filed pursuant to Subchapter 4, Hawaii Administrative 25 Rules Title 6, Chapter 671, Rules of Practice and

Procedure before the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission. 1 This proceeding will be governed by regulatory 2 schedule which will be part of a procedural order that 3 4 will be issued by the Commission in this docket. Unless 5 waived by the parties, an evidentiary hearing on the matters of this docket will be held after the parties have 6 conducted discovery pursuant to the regulatory schedule. 7 8 When the docket record is complete, the Commission will make every effort to finish our deliberations and 9 issue our decision on this matter by November 23<sup>rd</sup>. 10 11 2007, as applicable and set forth by state law. 1.2 COMMISSIONER CALIBOSO: Thank you, Ms. Kim. 13 This concludes our public hearing. Thank you for 14 your testimonies and your attendance. Good night. We are 15 adjourned. 16 (Proceedings concluded at 6:27 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	T ADDIANNE TOE KUDACAKT C C D da and fan the
4	I, ADRIANNE IGE KURASAKI, C.S.R., in and for the State of Hawaii, do hereby certify:
5	
6	That I was acting as shorthand reporter in the
7	foregoing matter on Tuesday, the 24TH day of April, 2007;
8	That the propositions were taken dawn in
9	That the proceedings were taken down in computerized machine shorthand by me at the time and place
10   supervision; that the foregoing represents, to	stated herein, and thereafter reduced to print under my supervision; that the foregoing represents, to the best of
11	my ability, a correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter;
12	
13	I further certify that I am not counsel for any of
14	the parties hereto, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in the caption.
15	
16	Dated this 18TH day of May, 2007 in Honolulu,
17	Hawaii.
18	
19	•
20	Allum exkuasas
21	Adrianne Ige Kurasaki, CSR 388
22	Registered Professional Reporter
23	
24	
25	